

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,917	12/31/2003	Kun-Ching Chen	250123-1020	1406
24504 7	590 03/23/2005		EXAM	INER ·
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW			LEE, BENNY T	
STE 1750		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, G	ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948		2817	
	•		DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Parent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FRING DATE

	APP CALL COMPAGER
	Active Addition
on a substitution of the process of the contract of the contra	
•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
•	
This application has been examined Responsive to commun	
A shortened statutory period for response to this and it.	The state of the s
reside to respond within the period for response will cause the applicati	on to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS AC	NOTE:
1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.	2 Notice to Detect Devices Day
3. Motice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449	Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152
5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.	6.
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	•
1. 🖸 Claims	
Of the above, claims	are pending in the application
_	are withdrawn from consideration
2. Claims	light been cancelled
3. U Claims	oro offered
4. Claims	
5. Claims	are 10,000,000.
6 [Z] Claims	are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
This application has been filed with informal drawings under 3	7 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
e Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action	
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on	- Unit of a -
not acceptable (see explanation or N	otice re Patent Drawing, PTO 0491
10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, file examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	d on has (have) been _ approved by the
11. The gronged drawing asset is	The state of the s
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed	_ has been approved; disapproved (see explanation).
been filed in parent application, serial no.	
13. Since this application appropriate to the state of th	
accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in
14. Other	5 TO THE STORY
•	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

EXAMINER'S ACTION

U.S.GPO:1990-259-282

PTOL-326 (Rev.9-89)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a substrate, classified in class 333, subclass 238.
- II. Claims 21-28, drawn to a method of manufacturing a substrate, classified in class29, subclass 846.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process, such as by molding the substrate into the desired configuration.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

For the group which is elected, applicants' are required to further elect one of the species indicated below for examination.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: the embodiment of Fig. 2;

Species II: the embodiment of Fig. 3;

Species III: the embodiment of Fig. 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,917

Art Unit: 2817

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 4

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benny Lee whose telephone number is 571 272 1764.

BENNY T. LEE

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2817

B. Lee